

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

J. MARK KANG (NYBN 4033999)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7050
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
E-Mail: Mark.Kang@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
ARGIMIRO POZOS SOLANO,)
a/k/a Romero Perez,)
a/k/a Romero Solano,)
a/k/a Argiro Pozos Solano,)
a/k/a Arlimiro Poso Solano,)
a/k/a Manuel DeJesus Cortez,)
)
Defendant.)

No. 12-0802 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

On January 29, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to February 26, 2013 to allow newly appointed counsel to review discovery. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between January 15, 2013 and February 26, 2013 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 to account for prior stipulations made on the record but not memorialized due to the change in counsel. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 12-0802 RS

of new counsel and for the continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

DATED: February 21, 2013

/s/_____
J. MARK KANG
Special Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: February 21, 2013

_____/s/_____
ERIC L. GUZMAN
Attorney for Defendant

1 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the January 29, 2013 hearing, the Court finds that the
3 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from January 15,
4 2013 and February 26, 2013 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
5 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
6 §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence and for the continuity of counsel. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9
10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12
13 DATED: 2/21/13

14 
THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge